

January 13, 1981

bill introduced, to have a public hearing. So then they come around and say just give me a vote to get it out of committee so the full body can debate on it and then the board gets full. We kept putting more bills up there and more bills up there and more bills up there and at the end of the session what do we do? We pass a bunch of bills that a lot of people don't know what is in them. We pass them because we don't know what is in them because there is too many. So I am going to have to say and I am going to support the limit. Let's try it one session. Then if it does not work and if it binds down and does all these horrible and terrible things, then I will stand up and say let's change it back but I don't see anything wrong with trying it for one session. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Before we turn to the six people who have indicated they also want to speak, underneath the balcony over here from Midland College, Government Economics Class, from Senator Lowell Johnson's district, Professor Maynard Traeder and four students. Will you please rise so we may welcome you to the Unicameral. The Chair recognizes Senator Beutler and then Senator Warner.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I just wanted to suggest to you that this is not a matter of first amendment rights. This is not a matter of those who want to gag and those who don't want to be gagged. This is a matter of choosing your gag and which gag is the more equitable gag. Do you want to be gagged at the beginning by a bill limitation rule which says that everybody has a certain number of bills, that every committee has a certain number of bills or do you prefer to be gagged throughout the session and towards the end of the session when the Speaker and those who he speaks to decide what the agenda is going to be and which hundred bills are not going to be heard at all and which fifty bills are going to have abbreviated discussion, which are going to be heard a little bit for fifteen minutes on General File? Now if that isn't a gag I don't know what a gag is. So it is not a question of first amendment rights at all. It is a question of what is the more reasonable method, what is the more equitable method of relating our work load to the time that we have. If anybody has put a gag on the Nebraska Legislature it is the people of the State of Nebraska by limiting our session to sixty and ninety days and that is the way they want it and that is the way they will have it but I think it is our obligation to see that we do the job in that time as best we can, as intelligently as we can and there is no way we are going to do that without limitations.