

January 13, 1981

can carry and I also remind you it is going to be terribly difficult for you to make a decision, which one shall I carry, which one shall I lay over or which one shall I try to farm out. Already I have approached several freshmen senators in this body asking if they have room for bills which I think have some reason to be introduced. That is somewhat embarrassing for me to do that because if I think the bill has merit I should be the one that should be the introducer and the sponsor, the defender and the manager and hopefully to get twenty-five votes for it. I was advised yesterday that Massachusetts went into session in December and as of now have introduced eight thousand pieces of legislation. Now in a bicameral body I know that many times there is a bill placed on the Senate side, there is a bill placed on the House side, both of them basically on the same subject but sometimes with little bit of differences for the compromises they are going to make in the smoke filled rooms when they get together with their majority or minority leaders. I am saying it is time for us to seriously consider this amendment. It is not something I have put up there for the purpose of wasting time. It has been bothering me for six years and I say again to those of you who campaign, committed to carry no bills, that we introduce too much legislation, you are living in the 1900s and not in the twentieth century going into the twenty-first century. We are here for ninety days. If we don't treat all the bills at least we have carried out our obligation. We have introduced them. They have had a public hearing and those people who have a tremendous interest, it should be their obligation then to make certain this body treats them in relationship to their importance. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak and then Senator Haberman. Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues, I wholeheartedly support Senator Koch's amendment. If we try to restrict the bills individual senators can introduce and try to expand the number of bills committees can introduce, we are short-changing ourselves. We are sort of creating a super senator concept. Why should that committee chairman have any more suasion and influence on the introduction of bills than each one of us as individual members? I personally feel I can make those decisions myself, that I don't need a super senator to stand in for me. I don't need to put my proxy to somebody else. I can say no to the lobbyists. I can say yes to the people in my district depending on the individual merits of that specific bill. If we believe in representative government, if we believe that we sit here representing approximately 33,000 people, if we believe we are the voice of those 33,000 people in this forum and if those 33,000 people for whatever reason, whether it be