

January 13, 1981

duals who are willing to sacrifice one bill for that type of legislation which is usually noncontroversial. In my case I signed on with Senator DeCamp the other day on a tax bill. Now I find myself with more bills than I can personally introduce and I think each of them should be heard in a public hearing. Now I find that I can't get off of that bill because I signed on and that would give me one more bill at least to the thirteen or fourteen I would like to introduce. Now I can wait until next session but that does not help me now. In some of these bills I have been asked personally to introduce them for certain groups or individuals and I would like to because I think they have some merit even though this body may never accept them this time around. Most of us know when you carry controversial bills, bills which are not understood, there is always a chance you are going to have to run them several times before they are fully comprehended by the public and by the people. An example would be one on the computer assisted assessment. I have had that bill now for almost five years and I am going to run it again and I am going to run it as long as I am here but each year it means it is going to take a piece of legislation and count against me. Yet I think it has considerable merit, but you know there are those who sometimes are slow learners and it takes a while for them to comprehend what that piece of legislation might be able to do for them. I am just saying that I think it is time that those who do not want to introduce bills, that is fine. That is their prerogative. If their campaign on not introducing bills, then that is their commitment to their people and I would hope their people never come to me and ask me to introduce for them. Senator Schmit and I were just talking about this a moment ago. There are men and women out here in the lobby who have been here for years who are very capable individuals who represent groups of people who want bills introduced. However, we find ourselves strapped. That means we have to say no. I think it is time this body looks at what legislation should be and that is to bring to the public those issues which may be critical to all of them and should be publicized and should be heard and possibly passed into law. For us to sit here artificially and say only you can handle 10 bills, I think that is wrong. I think that also says to me that many people on this floor say that there is no person here can manage 10 bills or more a session and I think that is asinine. In fact, I think it is an insult to my capabilities and the ability for me to lead if I so desire. It is easy to follow but it is often difficult to get out in front in the vanguard where you get the greatest criticism and I am saying to you, the new members here, right now you don't have many bills but I will guarantee you after you have been here a while you are going to have a lot of bills and there will be more bills than you