

needed dental work, and this is another area that he didn't want to talk about. The people were trying to get him to have his teeth fixed so he could make a better presentation when he applies for a job but he felt he didn't need this work done, and he lacks job seeking skills. He lacks job placement. He lacks knowledge of an effective way to deal with others. That is probably one of his problems that he has a problem dealing with other people. As far as his IQ, it hasn't changed much from the time he came being a ward of the state to the present time. I can't see too much difference. It fluctuates somewhat but not a great deal so the amount of his IQ percentage difference hasn't changed that much.

SENATOR CLARK: You have one minute left.

SENATOR MARESH: As far as receiving electric shock treatments, there are thousands and thousands of people in the State of Nebraska that have received these treatments and I don't think we should find fault with these at this time when they have improved treatment by coming up with new drugs instead of using this type of treatment. So I would say that we should take a good look at this before we allow the \$65,000 that Senator Kelly is providing for this man.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I support this amendment and I think there is more involved here than just whether or not a person's feet were cured to the point where he can walk. We are talking about damage to the mind, to the spirit, to the soul, if you will, of a human being who was placed under the total direction and dominance of the state. The state did certain things which I think were reprehensible and for which it is ashamed. We are not in a position to say that the state should assume no responsibility for its actions or for those actions of its agents. It is bad enough that the actions were done but I think it is deplorable, it is almost unspeakable that more enlightened people during enlightened times would try to say there should be no responsibility assumed for what was done to this person. I don't think that the giving of money can atone for the wrong that was done and I see it as an absolute wrong. Had a private individual or a laboratory kidnapped an individual and done the identical same things, then those people would be chargeable for kidnapping and experimentation on this individual and subjected to an appropriate criminal punish-