

we are going to have a proposal like 882, especially one that adds additional revenues for local governments each and every year based on how much they spent the year before, how much additional revenues they have spent, then in fact, we do need some additional time for them to work out these computations. Now if, in fact, it was a \$70,000,000 ceiling as 518 was there would not be a problem in this regard because the computations would be fairly simple. The computations would be consistent. You would not have the changing computations that will be required with this growth factor that we have in the bill and so consequently it is important, I think. If you are going to continue to have the growth factor which is the most obnoxious part of this bill that continues to have the problems and continues to tax urban residents for the benefit of rural property tax payers, then, in fact, we ought to allow some additional time to make sure that these are done correctly because we would not want to cheat anybody out of their just reward and that is a reward of windfall tax dollars because they spend additional revenues. This amendment will allow that additional time. It will allow those county assessors who are going to have a difficult time making these computations by hand, changing this formula each and every year and so forth. They are going to allow them some additional time to do that and I think that that being a very difficult process, especially when you are talking about minute dollars in some of the smaller counties where you are talking about fifteen or twenty cents additional revenues going to one fire district or one small class one school where you are talking about those small amounts of dollars that, in fact, this thing should be changed. These provisions should be modified and in that we make some additional time available to both the counties and to the state tax commissioner for the computation of these revenues. Now I think it is important that we start trying to clean up 882 instead of trying to ram this bill down the throats of this Legislature and I can understand the lateness of the hour and the anxiety to move things across the board very quickly but that anxiety in the end will cost us. It will cost our local governments. It will cost the subdivisions of government that have to do this work, will cost them dearly in years to come. So if we take a little more time to do the job correctly, and I think we ought to do that, to make these amendments, to make these changes so that we do have a good bill as it wends its way across the legislative floor, I think that that would help considerably and I think we ought to take that time. That time is dear but at the same time if these amendments were accepted and so forth, this debate would be a lot shorter and consequently I think that would