

Head shops will be able to operate and I have one in my district, Senator Venditte, and I am running for the school board and I am damned concerned about it. I am damned concerned about it but for two more years you are going to allow those head shops in my district to sell to youngsters under the pretense that we are doing something about taking care of the head shops.

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have thirty seconds left.

SENATOR SIMON: We are not. So you have four options. I am going to move the advance to go with the committee amendments today but you have four options. Number one, you can defeat this bill. Number two, you can support it with the committee amendments. Number three, you can say we want to abolish head shops but we want to try and do something that is halfway constitutional and you can support the blue ribbon committee amendments or, number four, you can do which is probably the only responsible thing to do and that is to pass the Simon-DeCamp amendments which have been the only set of amendments the Attorney General has declared constitutional but I will withdraw the two sets of amendments at this time, Mr. President, and we will discuss it on Select File.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, we are still speaking to the committee amendments. There is approximately four minutes left on this bill. The Chair recognizes Senator Landis. We are on the committee amendments.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, the committee amendments serve to obfuscate the problem of the bill but they don't solve the inherent question that we are faced with. They do take out some of the most blatantly, obviously unconstitutional language. They leave in the bill what I believe constitute unconstitutional language and the reason I don't support the committee amendments nor the bill is threefold. First, I think it is fair that an individual who can suffer a criminal penalty can go some place and read the law and find out what it is that you can't do and if you can't read the law and understand what you are prescribed from doing, then the law is a mistake. The law should be particular. It should be clearly written well enough so that an individual knows what behavior he may or he may not do and suffer a criminal penalty and I think if you read LB 991, absent the frenzy that surrounds the bill, I think you will see that it puts the average individual in a tough spot to understand what that language means and to change their behavior accordingly. Number two, this is eyewash. This does nothing to solve the problem of drug abuse. We,