

you are going through and paying \$133,000 in order to carry it out escapes me. This would be, if anything, a more factual report, a totally simplistic report and available. I would suggest to you that you adopt this amendment. It would eliminate what we are now going through. It would eliminate the cumbersome burden on those who would serve if they were not bedeviled to death with the report and the unnecessary discussion of what their relatives own and who is involved and what, most of which can be very easily defeated in that report anyhow. I suggest to this body that you adopt this amendment for your own sake, for the sake of others who serve in government, particularly those who serve without compensation and in order to achieve a saving to this state that is equivalent of a 75 percent increase in your legislative salaries to give you a benchmark to shoot at. That is what that operation that is presently in force is doing, and I ask whom.... I ask whom they have barred from public service, whom they had ejected from public service through all of the agonizing report and filing that goes on. This would be a factual, statistical report of any financial transactions relating to a campaign that expended in excess of \$1000, and I ask that you adopt this motion, if nothing else, for the sake of a much better government.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Are there other amendments to the bill? The motion is to return the bill. Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I would like to be brief, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Excuse me.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: May I proceed, Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER MARVEL: Yes, go ahead.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Let me just very briefly say that we should make no mistake about what this twenty-page amendment does. This twenty-page amendment effectively guts the Sunshine Act, abolishes the Political Accountability and Disclosure Commission, resurrects the old conflicts of interest committee of the Legislature, changes the lobby disclosure requirements and basically does away with the entire campaign finance reporting section of the current law. Now, I think it is completely inappropriate to request these sorts of major changes at a bill that is on Final Reading. These amendments have been kicking around a long time and they reemerge about once a year with a different sponsor. There is really no reason these couldn't have gone through a public hearing. Now, what I