

April 9, 1980

LB 889

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, debate has ceased. We are closing on the Lamb amendment.

SENATOR LAMBIS: Just to reiterate, Mr. Chairman, that this amendment is to further clarify the provisions of the bill that out of state suppliers of fertilizer must register with the State Department of Agriculture. I ask that the amendment be adopted.

SPEAKER MARVEL: All those in favor of the Lamb amendment to 889 vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the Lamb amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, the motion is carried. The amendment is adopted. Now we are ready for the bill as amended.

CLERK: No, sir, I have one more amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay.

CLERK: Senator Vickers moves to amend, Mr. President. It's on page 1520 of the Journal.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, I guess my concern when I looked at LB 889 was similar to some of the concerns that have been voiced when we were discussing Senator Lamb's amendment. What I had attempted to do after looking at 889 was to simply strike the language... the new language on page 4, lines 20 through 23 and insert after distributed in line 20 to the ultimate user, the same language as is used on page 9 of 889. It seemed to me that what we were attempting to do with 889 was to protect fertilizer dealers from their suppliers and I felt that we should protect the farmers, the ultimate users, of fertilizer products in the State of Nebraska. If this is the intent of the Legislature to protect the consumers then it seemed that that was the language we should be using. However, after sitting here listening to Senator Kahle and Senator Lamb and the explanation that they were giving, I can understand that the reason for the Agriculture Committee's putting this bill out in the form that they did...and I'm a little bit reluctant to say that we should go ahead and