

people have called the ominous banking bill? Omnibus banking bill. Why, Senator DeCamp, are we bailing you out at this late hour? If this bill was so important, why wasn't it put up there in order? Why didn't we do it in a logical manner? Those are the questions.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp, will you respond?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I'd be happy to answer. Number one, you are not bailing me out. If you don't believe in this and if you don't believe that this should be done, you simply vote against it. Number two, I can't succeed unless thirty members of the Legislature feel that this is an issue worthy of doing in this particular manner at this particular time. Otherwise I fail on it. You have the right to vote red, you have given your arguments against it. You also stated that at the beginning of this session 25 people agreed that they were going to follow a certain procedure. All I am suggesting is that if 30 people want to at this particular moment follow a particular procedure they should be allowed to do it. Now, Senator Beutler, I know, I know that in your mind you want to have everything go only according to your specific little cogs and wheels and so on and so forth and I admire you for it. It is just that experience in a Unicameral non-partisan legislature with our particular set of rules has indicated that there are a lot of major decisions that are handled in special ways. This has been the tradition over the years. If you want to completely change that, all you need, all you need to do is make sure that you win on the 25 or 30 votes. It is that simple. As to the procedure in the Banking Committee, the Banking Committee completed its hearings before any other committee of the legislature on all bills. We moved almost all bills to the floor that we could quicker than any other bills. The bankruptcy thing, there was considerable disagreement as to what form it should finally take. This was a form finally settled on. It was mutually agreeable to the dissenting members of the committee. Senator Schmit felt that he wanted to put this on another bill. That amendment was adopted. I'm thinking now at this time because the other bill may or may not get considered and because it may or may not be constitutionally valid in that other bill that this should be taken at this time on this particular bill and I'm not even wanting to take a great deal of time on it. Probably less time by far than it would take as it marched across on the various things. I'm just suggesting that this is the simplest way to handle it.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I would just simply suggest in conclusion that if we really want people to believe in due process in this state, if we want them to believe in the