

SENATOR DeCAMP: He is quite correct.

PRESIDENT: You might agree with that.

SENATOR DeCAMP: He is quite correct. Therefore, because I have tried to follow the policy of when I gut a bill of using a bill only that the sponsor agrees with, which I had a little problem with Senator Hoagland, or a bill that is not used for any other purpose, one other word is a dead bill of sorts, I would specifically in this particular case move to suspend the rules for this purpose so that. . . .

PRESIDENT: So you are withdrawing your other motion.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Withdrawing the other motion and move to suspend the rules and follow this procedure.

PRESIDENT: All right, your motion is withdrawn and the motion, Mr. Clerk, now is to suspend the rules.

CLERK: Suspend Rule 7, Section 3d and Rule 5, Section 2b to allow for the adoption. . . .for the motion to return and the adoption of the amendment as proposed on page 1608 of the Journal, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Beutler, do you wish to speak to the motion to suspend the rules?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wish to object to this motion. You know, at the beginning of the year when we set out on this process we all agreed to a set of rules. There were 25 of us, everyone voted on the rules to be in effect this year. Now, we are coming to the end of the session and we are seeing and we are going to see additional motions to suspend the rules, essentially for the purpose of advancing bills off of General File. Again what we are doing is changing the Speaker's order, except we are doing it in a different manner. We are doing it in a manner that requires in some cases 25 votes but in this instance because it is not germane it will require 30 votes. But I would like to ask Senator DeCamp about the history of this bill. You know in the first place if this bill is so important, why didn't the Speaker put it on the agenda? Why did the Speaker put a whole bunch of bills ahead of it that we are not going to get to? It must be because the Speaker thought there were other bills that were more important than this one. In addition to that I would like to ask Senator DeCamp about the history of this bill. If this bill was so important, why wasn't it on the committee's agenda first? Why didn't it come out of the Banking Committee first? Why was it combined into what some