

a matter of the appropriations decisions as to just how far that is to grow. So that basically is 691 as an amendment to 882. Now Senator DeCamp argued long and hard on this floor that we only need to deal with one bill. This is the bill that is left for us to deal with. 691 is a long term solution to this whole question. 691 is the proper solution to this whole question. It is going to buy peace in this Legislature for decades, not for one year. That is all that 882 is intended to do. 882 is as the Attorney General says is hard to defend. He says that it constitutionally suspect. For us to pass this kind of a formula is not only wrong, but it is probably the most irresponsible thing that we will do, because there are court suits, there will be a test of 882, we will find ourselves in special session and if we are not in a special session we will find ourselves dealing with this whole issue again next year. I think that we have to look seriously at doing what is right on this issue and that is taking what the Revenue Committee has worked long and hard to develop and placing that in 882. It seems to me that this whole issue has been a question of pride of authorship. It has been a question of not of what is right, not of what is responsible, not of what is legal and constitutional, but a question of who will gain the credit. Who will write tax law. Well Senator Schmit and Senator Nichol who are the sponsors of 882 will be proud when this amendment is adopted because their bill will be used as a vehicle to do what is right instead of continue the rate of the urban tax payer.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp, are you in the room?

SENATOR DeCAMP: (no response).

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Lamb, do you want to speak on the Newell amendment?

SENATOR LAMB: If it is in order Mr. Chairman, I would call for the question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The question has been called for. Okay hearing no objection the Chair is going to call for the question. All those in favor of ceasing debate vote aye, opposed vote no. Excuse me?

SENATOR NEWELL: . . . .on this and obviously it can't be adequate. Mr. President, our rules indicate that the Speaker must determine whether there has been adequate debate and no one else has spoken besides my opening, so I don't think that there has been adequate debate.