

March 20, 1980

LB 627

and I ask for the sincere consideration of this body to keep the intent and major body of this proposed legislation intact without unduly weakening it or making it so it really is not very meaningful. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Mr. Clerk, is there an amendment on the desk, a motion on the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, the first motion I have is by Senator Keyes to indefinitely postpone the bill but Senator Keyes is excused for the afternoon.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Are there any members of the Legislature who are prepared to take this motion for Senator Keyes? Is anyone prepared to take the motion? Okay.

CLERK: Mr. President, in that case Senator Burrows now moves to amend the bill.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Burrows.

SENATOR BURROWS: Either that or take up Senator Keyes' motion.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Are you prepared to take up his motion?

SENATOR BURROWS: Yes, I would move that we indefinitely postpone LB 627 and the motion is not intended to deal, to fail to deal with the problem of adjusting the lid bill and the proposition before Omaha but the motion is to shift the impetus to LB 609 which handles the same subject. We had two bills come out and this motion will simply decide which bill we want to deal with, whether we want to take 609 or 627. I will not offer a kill motion on the other bill if this one comes up. It is to shift and deal with the other bill. So I think this body, the bill is entirely making a game play as is of this Legislature. I think we are tricking and deceiving the voters if we make the substantial changes, first year up after we passed LB 2 which gave the people the right to impose a lid. Then we tell them it is just for one year and grab it off. I think it is deceit upon the voters of the state that we were playing a game for them. I think we can moderate that law. I think it was somewhat unreasonable and we can adjust it somewhat and I think the other bill is the vehicle from the Revenue Committee with which we should do this. I think it is closer, starting much closer to a moderate approach of relieving the situation but not voting off what we gave them one year ago to do after the people of the City of Omaha and Nebraska City imposed this lid. I think it is a slap in the face of the majority of