

March 20, 1980

LB 609, 627

same committee, advanced on the same date and 609 was introduced in this Legislature before 627 was and both presently as amended, address the same subject.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair interprets the motion as being one that requests the overrule of the agenda and is this the motion you want to make? According to the rules and our interpretation of the rules as we see them, your motion has the effect of overruling the agenda and I want to be sure that you and I are on the same wave length.

SENATOR STONEY: Well then, Mr. Speaker, are you saying in essence then that I am moving to overrule the Chair on this particular issue?

SPEAKER MARVEL: You are moving to overrule the agenda. You want to change the agenda.

SENATOR STONEY: That would be the motion for the reasons stated.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Would you wait just a second until I check the terminology? Senator Stoney, your motion is to change the Speaker's order as per rule 1, Section 16 on page 4 and it takes 30 votes.

SENATOR STONEY: So move.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is to overrule the Speaker's order. Is there any further discussion on that motion? Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I was trying to follow what Senator Stoney said. Senator Stoney, can I ask you exactly why you want the order reversed?

SENATOR STONEY: Senator Chambers, both of the bills address the same subject presently in their amended form and the logic that I have used is that 609 was introduced prior to the time 627 was. They were heard in committee on the same day by the same committee. They were amended by the Government Committee on the same day and advanced to the floor on the same day so it just seems that logic would follow in order that 609 comes before 627.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, thank you. Members of the Legislature, on these lid matters I don't have a preference as to which order the bills are taken in but I don't think what Senator Stoney gave as a reason has any logic