

March 19, 1980

LB 1002

\$28,000,000 of projects applied for by various governmental entities, Natural Resource Commission. They have approved approximately \$12,000,000 worth of projects and currently toward that \$12,000,000 worth of projects we are reappropriating around six and a half million dollars. These are projects which will not be completed within the next twelve months. Only a portion of them will. We are adding a million eight by the committee recommendation, leaving the balance necessary over the next two or three years to complete the existing approved projects of three and a half million dollars. Also I want to make it clear that the recommendation from the Governor's bill for the total amount of funds to go to both programs was 2.7 million, \$2,770,000. The total for both projects from the Appropriations Committee is \$1,100,000. Senator Schmit's amendment will add \$1,150,000 only to the water resource development fund. Did I misspeak? Oh, \$3,100,000 is the total for the committee but the thing that is important we have is that the Legislature has, starting since 1978-'79 fiscal year, been adding each year, additional funds for both programs. This year there is money added to both programs over and above what was expended and authorized the previous year. So the only thing we are talking about is whether or not you want to accelerate and increase the number of projects that might be eligible for funding under the Water Resource Development fund. I doubt seriously if you are going to accelerate any one of the present projects appreciably but I think you can put in more money and add more projects if you wish, but as far as not having adequate funds to complete the programs currently approved, I doubt if that is the case. Otherwise there wouldn't be approximately six and a half million carried over and I did want to make it clear that we are recommending increases in all programs and there has been a consistent move on the part of the committee to do that. The one issue that we have already resolved is which of the two funds should have emphasis but both funds were increased.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask Senator Warner a question. Senator Warner, how much money would a one cent tax on cigarettes have provided?

SENATOR WARNER: \$1,700,000.

SENATOR KREMER: Am I correct, that in the Governor's speech before this body that he did recommend a bill introduced that would have provided for a one cent cigarette tax plus \$1,000,000 additional?