

March 19, 1980

LB 790

who don't support 222 because of the amount of money involved, admit that we have a problem in the state in dealing with deaths that occur, determination of the cause of death. Senator Sieck, if we are going to put a job on a person we should prepare them for it. Oh, we got a vote. Well, let me be quiet. Maybe there are more votes here than I thought. I support Senator Reutzel's amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator George, do you wish to speak to the Reutzel amendment?

SENATOR GEORGE: Yes, thank you. I think we are going back to a discussion of LB 222 and whether that motion was made in jest or not, we are wasting our time here. I don't think that amendment really should have been added to Senator Vickers' amendment who sincerely believes that we have to set a maximum of training so that that doesn't get out of hand. I hope we will speed up a little bit and take care of that before lunch. We will defeat the Reutzel amendment, which I don't think he really meant seriously anyway, and then get back to the Vickers amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Beutler, do you wish to speak to the Reutzel amendment? Okay. Are we ready to close on the Reutzel amendment? Senator Vickers, do you want to speak to the Reutzel amendment?

SENATOR VICKERS: Yes, I do. I would like to ask Senator Reutzel a question, Mr. Speaker, if I may.

SENATOR REUTZEL: Sure.

SENATOR VICKERS: Senator Reutzel, is this thirty hours that you are talking about, is that over and above the thirty-six hours that I put on there, or is that just out of the thirty-six hours?

SENATOR REUTZEL: Oh, no, that is included, Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: In other words, the total will still be thirty-six hours?

SENATOR REUTZEL: Yes.

SENATOR VICKERS: It seems to me that I think Senator Reutzel....I agree with Senator George, I think Senator Reutzel is back on LB 222. It seems to me that those that were a little bit reluctant to support a limit on a number