

March 3, 1980

LB 892

and you say that what is being said here is going to destroy that, and for the life of me, I don't see in Senator Johnson's amendment anything except an expansion of what the transfer could be made. There is nothing in here that precludes that being transferred to another court. There is nothing in here that indicates that the small claims court shall be confined to that court, not in Mr. Johnson's amendment. There is a provision that the case would have to be appealed rather than dismissed, but for the life of me, I don't see in Senator Johnson's amendment anything that is going to strengthen the proposition that you and I shall go at it before a judge, period. What in that amendment do you see that says that?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, Senator Murphy, Senator Johnson can correct me if I am wrong, Senator Murphy, but the way I construe his amendment is the only way that a defendant can get out of small claims court is by requesting a jury trial, and once he requests a jury trial in big court, in municipal court, then he has got to stick to that request, so his alternative is either any claims under \$1,000 fight out in small claims court or request a jury trial and take it into municipal court.

SENATOR MURPHY: Under our present system, do I as a defendant not have a right under existing statute to make that transfer?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Under existing statute if you are sued in small claims court for say \$200, you can transfer it out for any reason at all.

SENATOR MURPHY: All right, it was your statement that this would destroy the man to man....

SPEAKER MARVEL: Excuse me just a moment, we cannot constantly have dialogue between two people. You don't address each other as far as the floor is concerned. There have been about nine people that have talked and most of them have been dialogue. Would you please confine your comments to questions that are directed at the Legislature as a whole please?

SENATOR MURPHY: Then I would specifically restate the question, where in Senator Johnson's amendment do you find any wording that would confine small claims court to the two people involved being heard before the judge? That is my question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Hoagland, do you want to answer the