

February 28, 1980

LB 986

another loser. When things are a good thing they will make some money. They don't ever come to the Legislature and say, please take this over. No, private business goes ahead. This, so obviously, is a loser. Senator Murphy, is it true that this would be only Lincoln money that would be put into this project?

SENATOR MURPHY: I would believe under the marriage that they have made of urban renewal and community development that either project would be a responsibility of the local community, the local county, because it relates to, in effect, well it has two proposals, one says that they can levy three-quarters of a mill against the county which, of course, is outside the concept but it is in the law as a result of our adopting those sections carte blanche, but the concept of the incremental tax was that the county treasurer will mail a check to this developer. So I would assume it would be a county responsibility for the amount of taxes involved. But it specifically provides that the county treasurer will send the check to the developer.

SENATOR NICHOL: Okay. Did the county of Lancaster support this bill?

SENATOR MURPHY: I have no idea, Senator.

SENATOR NICHOL: But they didn't object to it either. Did they even know about it?

SENATOR MURPHY: Like I say, I can't respond to that on my own knowledge.

SENATOR NICHOL: Okay. It looks to me like we probably would do well to send this back to get some input from Lancaster County to see if Lancaster County is willing to put their money on the line. So I would support Senator Murphy in returning to committee.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, Senator Hoagland and then Senator Koch and Senator Newell. May I say that when we finish this discussion, I think we will then move to Select File and I would suggest if you haven't taken a look at the Select File items on the next page that now is an opportunity to do so. Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I would like to speak briefly in opposition to Senator Murphy's motion, but perhaps with a little less enthusiasm than people that have spoken before. I can share Senator Murphy's concern about using locally raised