

February 28, 1980

LB 823A

CLERK: Okay.

SENATOR NEWELL: It is a private security licensing bill.

SPEAKER MARVEL: We are dealing with an A bill now.

SENATOR NEWELL: Yes, I would like to advance the A bill, which is, how much is that A bill? It wasn't much. I remember that. It wasn't much.

CLERK: Senator.

SPEAKER MARVEL: 823A, Senator Chambers. The next bill up is 304 for Education and 986, Urban Affairs. Senator Chambers, are you ready for 823A?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, the only reason I will ask that you advance the A bill now is so that it can stay with the bill itself, but in talking to Senator Hoagland and others, we are going to try to find a way to perhaps put the regulation of private security guards under an existing agency. I have been talking to John Evans who is with the Crime Commission and amendments of a specific type have not yet been worked out. So if you want to advance the A bill, you can. If you don't want to, it doesn't make too much difference. But if the two should stay together I would move that you advance it. There would not be, for example, perhaps the need for a full time director even if an agency is established for the purpose of regulation. That is about all I have to say on it. I won't answer any questions because I don't think there are any that are pertinent, unless Senator Kahle has one, or Senator George, or anybody else that I would choose to answer a question for.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator George and then Senator Keyes. Senator George, do you wish to be recognized on the bill?

SENATOR GEORGE: Yes, just a quick question of Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, do you...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR GEORGE: ...is this fund just to start it out, or is it just to....well, if we establish a commission, could we compare it to other commissions that are funded by the members and that they have a revolving fund which doesn't come out of our General Fund? Is that what you...