

SENATOR BEUTLER: That is right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, if it is a situation where a contract might be imposed by operation of law, that debt would not be covered. Isn't that correct?

SENATOR BEUTLER: What situation do you have in mind?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, are you aware that there are ever any relationships between people that will bring into existence a set of circumstances where the court will impose a contract and require...that wouldn't be covered by this though, will it?

SENATOR BEUTLER: That is right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, so then for me to understand what you have done here and for everybody else to understand, if a person owed a judgment as a result of a tort claim and signed an agreement like a contract to pay that claim in a certain fashion, would that contractual agreement to pay off a tort claim in a certain fashion be subject to this bill?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I am not sure what your point is, Senator Chambers, to the extent that what you are saying as far as the fact situation is concerned is that a judgment is obtained on a tort matter.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And then subsequent to that judgment the person, the debtor enters into a contract to pay the debt?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: In that case I don't know why a person would do that. Why would a person do that?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, let's just...I don't know why they do a lot of silly things in law. I don't know why this bill is here. But let's...this could happen. First of all, would the situation I mentioned be illegal?

SENATOR BEUTLER: To contract to pay...to contract to pay a debt you already owe?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: An existing debt, right, is that illegal?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I would hate to give an opinion on that