

supposed to serve, and in many cases too many parents today want to look to the Legislature to solve their problems instead of looking within their own institution and solving them there, but it is always nice to wash your hands and say, we have got a law now and it is going to work. We have laws about paying income taxes, and they don't work very well either, because there are always certain people who will take advantage of it. We have laws about tobacco. Sixteen year olds are not supposed to be able to buy tobacco, but they do buy it and they buy it blatantly, yet we have a law in the state books that say they shouldn't, but they are doing it, and the problem there is just about as bad as the one we are talking about. So, for the record, Mr. Speaker, I will withdraw the kill motion and allow the bill to ascend over there into that grand land of illusion and delusion and hopefully the people of Nebraska will know this does not solve the problem, we have just wasted hours upon hours upon hours of worthless debate and rhetoric. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The amendment has been withdrawn. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment is offered by Senators DeCamp, Johnson and Hoagland. It reads as follows: (Read amendment as found on page 454 of the Legislative Journal.) That is offered by Senators DeCamp, Johnson and Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Senator Johnson will open and Senator DeCamp or I can close on this, Mr. Speaker.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, this is an amendment that came to me from a lawyer who has a law office in my legislative district in Omaha and I have circulated the letter from John Respeliers which outlines the reason for the amendment. As you can see under existing law, if a minor is found to be in possession of alcoholic beverages and if he is found to be in possession of alcoholic beverages in an automobile, the automobile itself is impounded. It is held...it is impounded. It is impounded until such time as the courts release it. Now as Mr. Respeliers writes in his letter, in the case that he handled the automobile was the family automobile, and the family automobile was...that was the only automobile that the family had and it was tied up for six weeks, and frankly, he doubted that his client was guilty of being a minor in possession but he felt coerced in the end to enter a plea so they could get the car back, so they would have something to drive. Now I, frankly, can't see any good reason for