

January 24, 1980

LB 35

how the world looked in 1970. We will have to wait for the new census and poverty guidelines.

SENATOR HEFNER: So in other words, this is about ten years old?

SENATOR JOHNSON: Yes, it is dated. That is very dated and of course the numbers of persons and the dollar amounts, people who are receiving assistance for the elderly people, the blind people and the disabled people and adults and children, that is current information. That is September 1978 information but the old low income people, that is old information but that is the best that is available.

SENATOR HEFNER: Okay, thank you, Senator Johnson.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues, I think Senator Johnson has put up a very good smoke screen. Everybody is chasing the study and I think that is the least of Senator Johnson's concerns is the study and I think Senator Murphy was right on target when he said, what we are doing is we are not saying here that the study is to find out whether minimum standards of need is basic telephone, essential furniture, appliances, clothing, that is not what we are trying to determine. We are accepting that in statute as this, in fact, is the base. This, in fact, is the bottom line and that is really all that Senator Johnson wants to establish. He is not interested really in the study. He is interested in setting down this criteria and that criteria is then what we will have to adjudicate future amounts on. Now I am not so sure that criteria is a right or a basic... all people have a basic right to those type of goods and supplies. Another thing that concerns me a little bit is the other section in there where we talk about a different kind of need where we include delinquent taxes as those should be in there and it is Section 12, Subsection 7, back taxes, if the loss of the home is imminent because of delinquency. I'm not so sure taxpayers should pay delinquent taxes. I think there is a lot of questions in this bill that need to be answered. I kind of feel like some of the other people talked that this might be something for next year. I think we are jumping off the cliff here and we are accepting a lot of things as basic standards that I don't think we are ready to accept. I think once in statute we put this down and say this is basic need, then we are going to be compelled to fund it but it is going to give leverage against those