

current law, which is that he can drink and then...

SENATOR KEYES: And then he can also go into the bar and pick up a six-pack, he can buy a bottle of wine and he can buy a bottle of whiskey.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: As current law provides, that is right, Senator.

SENATOR KEYES: As current law provides, and next year at 20...19 yes, and next year at 20 he can still do it, but the fellow that is coming 19, he looks at his buddy that he has been sitting with and running around all of his life and he finds out that one of them can go in the bar and drink and the other one can't, and finds out that...or that one of them can drink in the park and the other one can't, but both of them can go to the bar and drink.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, it will mean the current law will apply to people that are 19 when this goes into effect.

SENATOR KEYES: Do you think the bartender is going to be able to keep track of the dates, all the things, the confusion that we are putting into this? Why don't we just kill this whole darn bill and start over again?

SPEAKER MARVEL: We need to prevent discussion across the aisles or we are never going to complete the discussion on this particular bill. The Chair recognizes Senator Schmit and then Senator DeCamp. We are speaking to the second Hoagland amendment.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Hoagland a question, if he would answer it, please. Senator Hoagland, apparently you recognize that it is very difficult to take the bottle away from the baby, or otherwise, and therefore you offer this grandfather clause amendment. Do you feel that the tavern owner has a particular responsibility for supervision of these young people while he is in their premises...while they are on their premises? Is that right?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, Senator Schmit, the purpose for the grandfather clause could perhaps be best explained by Senator Kelly, because it was a provision in LB 221 that was inadvertently struck by this amendment, and the purpose of the provision, I think, as Senator Kelly has stated over the years was so that the bill would not take away any privileges that are in law at the time the bill goes into effect. Now your