

not other Senators, because they are not speaking as to what this bill is all about. I am not sure they know very much. The bill has no strong advocates on the floor answering these questions about the lawsuits but what the bill does have is the support of a powerful lobby and a very talented lobbyist who has winked and assured everyone that this bill is all right. Now I have asked this body to adhere to a principle and that principle is that intricate factual questions such as, what is a community of interest upon which to base a bargaining unit should be given by law to the courts and once given to the courts to decide should be left there but most of that policy is intact. We do give to the courts the responsibility to determine the factual issue of community of interests and what a reasonable bargaining unit is but now the Legislature at the behest of lobbies, is revoking in limited instances that policy and I don't think it is wise. I renew the plea that I made last year to this body to adhere to that line that I just indicated, that policy that we have always adhered to in the past. I hope that you will act favorably on that plea. I doubt, however, that you will. Although I am a rookie here in the body, I am not so slow as to sense the power and the heat that is generated outside this body rather than on the floor of it. That is a painful lesson to learn and it is even more painful to accept but I think it is true. LB 108 is a mistake as a matter of the quality of law and I hope you will reject it. However, I don't want to stand on parliamentary procedure. I will withdraw my motion to kill LB 108. We will proceed with the merits of the bill and I will be voting against LB 108. I hope there are those of you among the body who will support me in that and adhere to the idea that intricate factual questions given to a court should remain there rather than to be revoked and made moot by legislation at the behest of powerful interests that are seeking to crush the interests of people who at this time are using the legal mechanisms to defend their rights. We should respect that. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: Are we now speaking on the advancement of LB 108?

SPEAKER MARVEL: No.

SENATOR LANDIS: I would withdraw that motion to indefinitely postpone.