

January 15, 1980

LB 324

subdivision. Are you suggesting then that added cost be picked up by the state because of a federal mandate?

SENATOR HEFNER: I hadn't thought about that but I would certainly do a little more research on that and offer an amendment on Select File if that is necessary.

SENATOR REUTZEL: How many dollars do you recall...Are you on Education Committee?

SENATOR HEFNER: No, I am not.

SENATOR REUTZEL: How many dollars do you recall that we sent back to subdivisions in terms of education, Special Ed? Now these are programs mandated by the state. Do you have an idea on that, what the...?

SENATOR HEFNER: No, I don't. I don't have a total of that. I imagine it is quite a few dollars.

SENATOR REUTZEL: I think, and perhaps Senator Koch could correct me if I am wrong, but I recall \$19.2 million dollars the state goes beyond state aid dollars in terms of Special Education from subdivisions and that is one example where the state is already doing that and there is about two out of every three dollars collected by the state that goes back to subdivisions. That is all the questions I had. I would just rise to suggest that perhaps the bill should either be not a constitutional amendment but a regular statutory provision or should go back for further revision in order to clarify some of the questions that we have. If, in fact, the Federal Government is going to tell us that our federal dollars are in jeopardy because of a particular program, we don't come up to par in particular programs and we have to then mandate to the subdivisions some additional programs then, in effect, the Federal Government is mandating to our subdivision through the state, programs and procedures which through this bill, the way it is worded, the state is going to have to pick up and I don't that is fair for us to pick up the mandates from the Federal Government. Until that is clarified I think that we should hold the bill up or pass over it, send it back to committee, whatever, to clarify that language.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Fowler, then Senator Dworak.

SENATOR FOWLER: Mr. President, I rise to oppose advancing the bill for much of the same reasons that have been pointed out, that when you write something in the constitution it is not easy to change it and that you must be very very careful