

utilized, we can't get an ordinary family doctor out into the outlands of Nebraska and I think that we are proposing something that is logically not going to be realizable even if it does become law.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Well....

SENATOR MURPHY: I think Senator DeCamp if there is to be some restructuring of the bill, if we are to propose one forensic expert, a member of our university hospital, and some additional training, a forensic expert will in no way keep an officer or a county attorney on the scene of the crime early from destroying evidence. He is maybe going to come in as a post facto person anyhow. But I think if we need specialized training that is something that should be considered as a change in the curriculum in our current police academy. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Reutzel.

SENATOR REUTZEL: Question.

PRESIDENT: Senator Reutzel calls for the question. Do I see five hands? I do. The question is shall debate cease. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: 25 ayes, no nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The motion carries, the debate ceases. Senator DeCamp, you will close on the amendment.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the legislature, I would like to chat with you just real seriously here, trying to answer some of the questions that have been raised. I'll try to give an honest answer on it. The first question that I guess everybody maybe slipped over and nobody has really looked at is this. Is the present system adequate? Now the present system was designed in the 1800's and basically it had a simple concept. You had a sheriff and the sheriff carried a gun. The sheriff was empowered to go out and find out what caused Sam to be laying in the street dead. The sheriff bend over and looked and said, well, Sam do have a hole in his head. It looks like about the size of a 45. The cause of death, 45. Now that sounds okay in the obvious cases. The 1980's are not the 1880's. That system, that system that proved relatively adequate then has proved inadequate now. Why do I say that? Why do I stand up here and say the system is inadequate. I'm not saying it, every representative of law enforcement ultimately at the hearings, after they looked at the evidence, after they had gone through case after case