

before you, can you point out to the Legislature, and we all have a copy of that decision, can you point out the exact page where that is, please, and if it would take us even a few minutes, Senator Hoagland, for him to find that I would appreciate it because I have an inquiry from a constituent who claims just the opposite. Senator Hoagland, can I just relate to you what was reported to me in a letter dated just a few days ago and it says this, "On August 14th, 1975, U. S. Federal Judge Luther Bohanon of the District of Oklahoma City issued an injunction against the FDA that enabled the cancer patients to receive laetrile from Mexico, plaintiff, Glen Rutherford of Conway Springs, Kansas, and this was appealed by the FDA October 13, 1976 to the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals and they ruled that the FDA has insufficient, they had insufficient information about laetrile, or vitamin B-17, to bar its use, thus denied the FDA of an appeal of an injunction issued by Judge Bohanon. This court remanded the case back to the trial court ordering the FDA to develop a record supportive of the agency's rulings."

SPEAKER MARVEL: Excuse me, Senator Hoagland. Senator Hoagland, is this in answer to your question?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Why don't we go on just for a...let me ask you another question, Senator Venditte.

SENATOR VENDITTE: First of all, did I answer your question?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, I think that...I have a copy of the opinion here, too, and in about fourteen pages of language in the Supreme Court opinion essentially affirms the FDA's ruling below...

SENATOR VENDITTE: So in essence then, my response to your response is contradictory. In other words, we don't agree, is that right Senator?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, I am not entirely sure, Senator, but I am confident that the holding of the United States Supreme Court is to affirm an FDA ruling that today it is illegal to transport laetrile across state boundaries to be used in treating cancer patients and the Supreme Court doesn't say that in a sentence or two to my knowledge but from pages 7 through 14 of the Supreme Court opinion that is the conclusion of the court and I think that Senator Landis is correct in that and I just wondered if that was your reading but why don't we go on without my pinning you