

to face the issue as it is before us, and it is before us right now. The section reads very clearly. I think there should be no doubt in any one's mind as to what this section is attempting to do. We don't do this for any other drug. We don't do this for any other substance. We don't do this for any other treatment. Why should we do it for this? Why would it even be in the bill. To me that would be the biggest flag in the world to vote against this bill that if we would have to say that a physician that might prescribe this should be absolved of any civil and criminal liability. That would make me stop and think, hey, what are we talking about? What are we asking that physician to do? And so I think for myself and I urge you to look at this Section 7 very closely right now before you put your red or green vote upon the Board and determine in your own mind what we are doing with Section 7. It is very clear to me what we are doing with it. I think the English language serves the purpose quite well in this particular section. So with this section in it the bill shouldn't move until this is straightened out and at least we know what we are talking about.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Burrows, do you wish to be recognized on the Dworak...I am sorry. Excuse me. We have got to vote. You are right. We are voting on the Dworak amendment to LB 382. All those in favor of the Dworak amendment vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays on the adoption of Senator Dworak's motion.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is carried. The Dworak amendment is adopted. What is the next item?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Cullan moves to indefinitely postpone.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Cullan.

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I think the last few minutes and the dialogue between Senator Dworak and Senator Venditte and I on that particular issue shows clearly why this bill should not be advanced. On checking those statutes, I found out that had we not taken Section 7 out of the bill, and had Senator Dworak not been so careful as to read it, that indeed medical...a doctor would have been cleanly exempt from medical malpractice. He