

think is right, because all of you know that we should not permit this to happen. We should not permit the Nebraska Legislature to speak in favor of backing down on the embargo and I urge you to vote according to that particular conviction and personal belief. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The question before the House is the adoption of the Hoagland amendment to LR 183. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Senator Hoagland, there are six legislators absent, so I just give you that for information. Has everyone voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: 18 ayes, 18 nays on adoption of the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The motion fails. The amendment is not adopted. The Chair recognizes Speaker Marvel for purposes of an announcement.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Exec Board will meet in Room 2103 at 11:45 for the purpose of referring bills.

PRESIDENT: We will continue then with the next amendment on LR 183, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers moves to amend and his amendment is found on page 214 of the Legislative Journal.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, in the interest of saving time and it is clear now that this resolution is far from having unanimity...I don't know if you can call an even split consensus, but it is clear that a move is being mounted here which does not have the support, the unequivocal support of a majority of those who voted on the last issue. What my amendment was going to do was to impose seven percent limitations on certain monies that were being requested from the government by the interests involved with the grain embargo. I had offered the amendment because the Governor in his inspirational stem-winding address before the Legislature the other day, stated that if the state government is going to require the local governments to exist under seven percent lid, how can the state do anything less. What the citizens want is less government, and he wants to remove the government. So if you are going to talk on the one hand about a free enterprise system, then ask the federal government to prop it up with tax money which