

May 23, 1979

do is to take off the limit on any senator. In other words, the senators would be able to introduce an unlimited number of bills if you have the enthusiasm and the energy to carry them. But for those of us who possibly have the need to introduce legislation, to place a limitation on us I think is indeed an unfair situation. Each individual comes here elected from a certain kind of a constituency. Each individual coming from certain kinds of districts have unique and different needs. You represent different types of people. So if we're going to do anything, if you want some limit, allow us to carry the number of bills that we would like to carry, then we would not hide them in committees like we have been doing. Place your limit on your committees. At least when the bills come on the floor, we will know where they are coming from. They're coming from an individual who is willing to put his name there, her name there, and be responsible for the bill, totally. But every year since I have been in this body the issue of limitation of individual senators has always come before us. Early this session we decided we were going to reduce the number of bills to senators sixteen. A moment ago Senator Carsten wanted ten. I went up there and checked the Clerk's desk. That would have meant that twenty of us would not be able to introduce any bills next session. There would be another nine who could virtually introduce no bills. So in that case most of us could stay at home, because we would have no particular interest here. But I think for us to put unreasonable limitations upon individuals is indeed a distinct disservice to individual senators who may wish to carry bills that need to have public hearing, that need to be recognized, because, ladies and gentlemen, the nation in which we live is subject to rapid change and technology, and as much as we would like to go back to the good old days, it's impossible. The good old days just don't exist any more. Federal government changes things today. We oftentimes react tomorrow. Many things that were static in this state once for a matter of years now are not static for much more than a matter of days. Oftentimes we offer legislation that tries to keep up with the time and with the change. Whether you wish to admit it or not, whether you like change or not, it's the thing that brings about progress. The difficulty with this body is sometimes we try to ignore progress and try to live with unreasonable types of limitations in an artificial setting. I submit to you if you remove the numbers limitation on senators, you will have an honest game of politics, because each senator then would put his name on