

situation. I do feel that not only for the sake of this act, for the sake of urban renewal, for the sake of community development, that this should be a separate and distinct act so that it can be amended and worked on in the future as we see the necessity arising without having to also contend with the proposition that we're amending the Urban Renewal Act. Let's send this back. Let's let the bill drafter draft the bill to implement the constitutional amendment and let's let urban renewal alone rather than trying to make a marriage of two bills and winding up with something that I just see nothing but problems with as far as the administration goes. I ask that this bill go back to Committee. You will have it back in six months. It could be reconstructed to do what we should have done, a clean act to implement the constitutional amendment, not a mixture, a hodgepodge of urban renewal, community development and redevelopment. I ask that this bill be sent back to the Committee for further hearing and clarification.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: Mr. Speaker, it's at this time I guess when thou shalt not loseth thy cool and must be patient. I stated a moment ago in the previous amendment that we did not tamper with urban renewal. We did not tamper with certain kinds of parking facilities given to primary cities. All we did is, the act was passed here a number of years ago...we took the redevelopment act and added sections that allows this mechanism to work. There is no way that anything was repealed as it pertains to urban renewal, housing authorities, parking development. Those kinds of things this body saw in their wisdom to provide the cities in previous years going way back to 1949. Senator Murphy sits on the Urban Affairs Committee and that was a hearing that took considerable time, and many of us were there and some were not there. In fact, when we adopted the amendments in the Committee Senator Murphy might have been present. I don't remember. But the point is this bill had a fair hearing before a Committee and it was publicized. As I recall there was no opposition. I may be wrong. No opposition. All there was support all the way from the Governor's Economic Development Office, Larry Bahr and others down to the smallest communities of the state of Nebraska. To me, Senator Murphy, this amendment is nothing more than debilitary. In fact, he's trying to put off tomorrow what should be done today. I guess that if I were so violently opposed to a piece of legislation I would also use those