

May 4, 1979

LB 158

of tax money open to anything that may occur to someone this year or next year or a following year. When they say that anything that is functionally related to or subordinated to such uses can be incorporated in this tax funding proposal and I for one am not in a position to say, yes, you go ahead and take that tax rebate that we are going to send to you and you use it for anything at all. It was my concept and it was the ballot concept that this was to be a funding mechanism to redevelop blighted areas. Under this, I think I could do just about anything in the world and apply tax monies to financing it and I don't believe that is the intent of the people. I don't believe it was the intent of this body. We certainly at no time discussed branching out and this statement quite honestly is so broad that it can't be interpreted, it can't be defined, and accordingly, I ask that it be removed. We have language in the bill permitting acquisition, redevelopment, but to say and anything else you can think of is carrying it too far and I don't think it should be used for language to implement what the people in this state voted on. I move the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: Good morning, Mr. Speaker. How was your evening? It sounds as if Senator Murphy is still irritated about something. I don't know. You know I have to give you a little background before I speak to this amendment because I am going to oppose it. This bill was heard throughout the State of Nebraska, all over this state. Communities looked at, saw slide presentations and virtually everything that is in this bill today was put before the public contrary to what Senator Murphy tries to say to you. A booth at the State Fair, they did a lot of work on this piece of legislation. Now to the amendment. When you get a development that we are thinking of in many cases it is going to be like the malls across in Council Bluffs which was developed, by the way, under this kind of a provision, we are talking about parking and you are talking about a considerable amount of parking, because if you do not have this, in effect you have made the project not a viable project. You know as well as I do the public today goes to shopping centers and places like this because of the parking which is generally very sufficient. So you have a small park there. That is for aesthetics values or you have a mall there. That is aesthetic value. That all is a part of development and I would remind you as I said to you the other day, before any development is made there has to be a feasibility study. Then there has to be a formal presentation. It has to be heard numerous times