

May 2, 1979

LB 594

although there is a \$2,800,000 figure listed, there is no appropriation for that and as such, really does not undertake the project in any way. Next year then we will once again face the question that we are facing here today and that is whether or not, in fact, we wish to go ahead with the project. So, Senator Schmit I believe basically eliminates the project. It is not really cutting the project in half. It is stopping the project for another year and as such is almost cutting the project completely out of the budget so I would oppose that motion. I would also comment on the Kearney Safety Center although I am sure Senator Cope may wish to speak on that as well, that this is something that we passed over Governor's veto, I believe it was last year or maybe it was two years ago. This is the money to try and develop some of the facilities to implement the program that we committed ourselves then so I believe that this should be left in the appropriations bill as well.

SENATOR CLARK PRESIDING

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. Senator and members of the Legislative body, I believe that some of you misunderstood that this was all new construction. This building has been condemned a number of times by the State Fire Marshall. It needs what we call, major, major remodeling. This building was old when I went to school the first time back in 1943. This building has housed a whole series of things including ETV, Hearing for Children. It has housed the dramatic program for the university. It now is in need of what we very seriously considered, heard at the public hearing, and I stand to oppose the proposed amendment by Senator Schmit.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I am a little bit confused. I am a little bit...well I am wondering, is it...are my thoughts really true that, in fact, they only wanted \$2,000,000 or so to renovate the Temple Building in the first place, that the \$6,000,000 figure was used and now it looks like we are going back to \$2,000,000, that this is a big bargain or is that far-fetched thinking and is this offered in good faith?

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Cope.

SENATOR COPE: Mr. President, members, I would certainly oppose Senator Schmit's amendment. Of course, I am going to