

May 2, 1979

LB 594

do not have accurate estimates as to the cost but based upon my conversation with some members of the budget committee the amount of money which I have included is a reasonable sum and one which is much less than the sum total of the renovation and new construction which has been proposed for the building. I recognize there are those who do not agree with my philosophy but I think it is proven consistent that in this day and age when we talk about the conservation of resources, when we talk about the necessity for recycling such mundane objects as cans and bottles, papers and some other things, that we throw away some very sound structures. There are going to be those who say well we are not going to throw away the Temple Building. We are really just going to remodel it but for all practical purposes we will never recognize it for the purpose for which it was originally constructed. I think that it is a very reasonable request of this body to take into account the existing utility of the structure, the existing value of the structure and the fact that it can be renovated to perform the service for which it was originally intended and to maintain some of the original character of the building and to perhaps contribute something to the heritage of the university. One of these days we are going to have a University of Nebraska that is composed of entirely modern and new structures and I would like to call your attention to some of the other very fine universities in these United States and in Europe where the structures are hundreds and hundreds of years old and they are still functioning very well and they are performing very well and if I were attempting to destroy the idea proposed by Senator Fowler and other good friends of mine from Lincoln and members of the budget committee, I would simply try to kill the proposal but I have not done that. I have asked that we consider the possibility of remodeling the structure, modernizing the structure so that we can take care of new technology but let us not destroy it. Mr. President, the time is late. There has been a lot of conversation but I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Murphy then Senator Fowler.

SENATOR MURPHY: A question of Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: Senator Schmit, in your best estimation how much will this add to next year's deficiency bill?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well I believe, Senator Murphy, you would have to ask that question of Senator Warner. He could give