

May 2, 1979

LB 489

to with the nature of disqualifications, is a difficult concept to understand. I had a rather lengthy debate yesterday with Senator Cullan trying to explain what that language does. So as an alternative to the committee amendments Senator Brennan and I have offered jointly in the spirit of good friendship and also burying the hatchet that I put right in the middle of his bill, we've offered an amendment that is far easier to understand, and also has a very narrow range and very narrow scope. So those of you who have been talked to about this bill, I hope that you will adopt the Landis-Brennan amendments as opposed to the committee amendments. Now if you want me to I will be happy to explain the committee amendments. However, I don't think there is any desire to proceed with committee amendments 1, 2 or 3 by either the introducer of the bill, the members of this body or myself.

PRESIDENT: Senator Landis, I think we will proceed with your amendment to the committee amendments at this time. Would you read them, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Well, what am I reading? I'm not sure Senator. What do you want me to read?

PRESIDENT: His amendment which is I think to strike... the Landis amendment to strike...

CLERK: Is he amending?

PRESIDENT: Yes, he's amending the committee amendments. That is correct, isn't it, Senator Landis?

SENATOR LANDIS: Excuse me, yes. Mr. Clerk, do you have the language that says, on Page 4, line 11, add the following?

CLERK: Yes sir. Is that an amendment to the committee amendments?

SENATOR LANDIS: It is. And if you would read that to the body, and members of the body, if you will take a look on your desk you should have a copy of the amendment to LB 489. I will be happy to explain it once you complete reading it.

PRESIDENT: Senator Nichol, for what purpose....

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, I guess it's a personal privilege, or something. We're talking about a bill here