

May 1, 1979

LB 587

Marsh talk about how this is not the continuation of a program known as the area of excellence. What this is is monies, specifically \$136,602 that is there to continue to look at what was derived from the areas of excellence. That's what Senator Marsh and Senator Warner would tell you, but the fact of the matter is that this is \$136,602 that are going to be additionally spent by the University and it relates to the area of excellence program that the University started. It does relate. It is spent in its name. It is money that was not supposed to be spent because after three years this program would no longer need to be funded. It would not become a permanent part...it would not become a permanent part of the University's program, and yet what it is going to be is it's going to be \$136,000 that is going to become a permanent part of the seven percent increase. Let's talk about that seven percent increase for a moment. Now that seven percent increase is really a seven point one percent increase, and the University again, as we all know, since Senator Warner has pointed this out on a number of occasions, can spend that money any way they want to. We can say it's going to be spent for the program area of excellence, or to review or anything we, you know, any justification we want, but they can spend that money on paperweights. They can spend that money on faculty. They can spend that money on trash cans. They can spend that money any way they want. But they said that after three years we would not have to pay for the area of excellence, and we still have money in the budget specifically allocated for some portion or some responsibility still dealing with this program. For that reason, and because the University is not being kept to seven percent, it won't be kept to seven percent under this budget, because it's seven point one percent, and it won't be kept to seven percent because there's another supplemental bill coming along shortly thereafter to add additional monies to the University. The poor University who is not going to be able to make it. Well I want to say this, and I think the issue is this, that if the University wants total control of their budget, and if the University wants to spend money any way they want to, and if the University does not want the Legislature to have anything to say about where the money goes, then why earmark money, \$136,000, for a program we can't be sure we're going to get? Let's take that money out. They said we're never going to ask for it. Let's take it out. Let's make sure...the only way we can make sure that they're not going to spend this money is to take that money out, or otherwise it can go to any program they want, and for that reason, and because of the more compelling reason that the University has either got to