

May 1, 1979

LB 444

created as a commission and I think it was unfortunate that we had the misnomer to get into this business about calling a commission a court. Nothing else about this commission has to do with the same development and selection of the judges as do our other courts. So I would ask that this amendment be adopted and eliminate any further confusion in behalf of those who will follow me as to when is a commission not a commission. Let's call it a commission and be done with it. I move the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Landis, do you want to speak to the Murphy amendment?

SENATOR LANDIS: Just very briefly because it is the kind of issue that I think this body is prepared to vote on very quickly I am sure. This is a body of longstanding. It has been named as the Court of Industrial Relations since 1947 and isn't it Shakespeare who said that a rose by any other name would smell as sweet or maybe it was Gertrude Stein, I can't remember. I often get those two confused. However, this is a quasi judicial body and they have many of the same kinds of functions as a court. In fact, what they do by sitting in factfinding and decision making in the manner in which they do, they are very much like a court and it is at least as accurate a description to call their function a court as a commission. It certainly I think behooves us all to spend considerable time debating this issue and I think as quickly as possible we should move to voting on the merits of the Murphy amendment. It will require substantial rewriting of the language in the statutes which constantly refer to the Court of Industrial Relations and the kinds of bureaucratic red tape that I think really spends too much of our time and money and patience with to make this kind of change, and if we are stuck with a misnomer, that may be Senator Murphy's description, I think it is a fairly accurate description of the quasi judicial function carried out by this body and harms no one to have it named in the same way that it has been for over twenty years.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Maresh, do you wish to speak to the Murphy amendment?

SENATOR MARESH: I think I would, Mr. Speaker, like to call attention that any time it refers to judges, this would have to be changed to commissioners, isn't that correct, Senator Murphy? Your amendment doesn't take care of all of those changes, does it?

SENATOR MURPHY: I would assume that that would be a natural