

April 30, 1979

LB 398

obviously be an attorney, in some cases you have to have so many years of practice and then the judicial nominating committee looks pretty carefully at your background, your experience, your record this type of thing. So....

SENATOR HABERMAN: Are the qualifications different for a Supreme Court judge than they are for a District Court judge?

SENATOR DE CAMP: I feel confident that the nominating committees and the governor would impose a much higher standard than they would for lets say the county judge, quite frankly. Somebody right out of law school could be selected as a county judge if everything went right, I suppose, I doubt that situation really could for all practical purposes ever occur for district judge lets say or for supreme court judge.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I guess what I am trying to say, Senator DeCamp, is that you say if we raise the salaries we are going to get more qualified people to apply. I'm just trying to find out....

SENATOR DE CAMP: No, I didn't say that. I think that you assumed that I said that. What I said was, I said by having a reasonable salary, we would maintain a good base of applicants. We would maintain a good judiciary and that is something that you have to look at every couple of years and take action on and that is all that I am suggesting.

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have thirty seconds.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I guess what I am trying to say is that if you raise the salary, are you going to raise the qualifications? Because all I have heard qualifications, qualifications, good qualified men.

SENATOR DE CAMP: Let me use the last ten seconds and say, lets go on the other theory if you lowered it one thousand or forty-eight hundred do you think that you would have as qualified a judiciary? If you answer that then the converse of it should be the truth.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Do you feel that this could wait another year if we didn't raise them to your proposed amendment? Do you suppose they would get along all right?

SENATOR DE CAMP: I think as long as the legislation is here the judiciary committee has acted upon it and worked on it and we are trying to work out something, I think that we should