

April 27, 1979

LB 161

activities in this same area. So for that reason there is a need to coordinate these activities. We are not talking about a commission. All we are talking about is a committee that meet once a year to look and analyze how they can cooperate and coordinate their efforts and to present that to the Legislature and to the Governor of the great State of Nebraska. Senator Clark opposes this proposal. He is opposed to commissions. Maybe he is opposed to something else. I move the advancement of the bill.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Labeledz, do you want to discuss the motion to advance LB 161?

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I first would like to explain to Senator Clark that the ultimate purpose of the committee, which is really the heart of the bill, is to achieve coordination among the state agencies and as Senator Newell mentioned providing services for senior citizens. One of the results would be a savings of tax dollars, actually. Presently the Commission on Aging administers about \$8 million in services. The Department of Roads handles about \$1,750,000 for transportation systems. The Welfare Department distributes about \$66 million of federal Title 20 funds and in over \$45 million in medicare payments. The purpose of the committee would be to coordinate these programs and come up with a comprehensive plan. The other sections of the bill, of course, extends the age discrimination protection for those from sixty-five to seventy and this would be consistent with the mandatory retirement age of seventy. I move for the advancement of LB 161. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, we have two minutes left on the bill. Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: I move the previous question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: We are ready to vote on the bill. All in favor of advancing the bill vote aye, opposed no. LB 161 to E & R for review. Have you all voted? We are voting on the advancement of LB 161 to E & R for review. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? We are voting on the advancement of the bill. Record. Do you want to go under Call, Senator Newell? We have to. I had 25 and then it shifted.

SENATOR NEWELL: Yes, I would like to go under Call and allow for call in votes.