

April 25, 1979

LB 285

the question I had in mind and I wanted to be sure that these were technical, and as I understand it, fine.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Is there any further discussion to the Carsten amendment to LB 285? If not, all those in favor of the motion vote aye, opposed vote no. We're voting on the Carsten amendment to LB 285. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion carried. What's the next amendment?

CLERK: Mr. President, the next motion is offered by Senator Schmit, but it's my understanding he wishes to withdraw that.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Why don't we go ahead and take Senator Johnson's.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Johnson moves to amend the bill, and those amendments are on Page 1460 of the Legislative Journal.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, the amendments that I have on Page 1460 of the Journal to a large extent are technical in nature, and are a response to some problems that surfaced as a result of an Attorney General's opinion. Let me discuss them with you relatively quickly. The first section of the amendment provides that with respect to a public school district, any receipts from the county nonresident high school tuition fund shall be considered as receipt from local taxed sources. Now the purpose of that particular amendment is to put into a local school district budget base whatever monies that local school district does receive from the nonresident tuition source so that in the event there is a merger by a class one school district into a highschool school district, that highschool school district does not suffer any loss in its budget base, since it no longer in effect has that nonresident school tuition money coming in, and that would take care of that particular problem. Now, the second amendment...or the second portion deals with the merger of two political subdivisions. One of the things that I asked the Attorney General about an opinion a few weeks ago was whether or not 285 had any impact upon two or more local subdivisions that decided to merge, and the Attorney General responded to the effect that, no, it did not.