

April 19, 1979

LB 152

PRESIDENT: Yes, well, whether or not this would rather be an amendment to the bill, rather than...yes, it properly belongs. I personally, Senator Venditte, I feel it belongs regardless of, you know, the number of votes it takes, we understand the...we understand what you're doing, but the point is I think it belongs as an amendment to the bill.

SENATOR VENDITTE: I see. So at this point it's out of order, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: I would suggest at this point that it's out of order, yes. Senator Simon, is that the point you were raising?

SENATOR SIMON: Well that's part of the point and I appreciate the ruling. My question is though, to save a little bit of time, when Senator Venditte offers this as an amendment to the bill, would it be premature to ask the Chair for a ruling whether...

PRESIDENT: Well, since this Chair will, Senator, the Speaker will be presiding at that time and I would just let.... (interruption)...

SENATOR SIMON: I will raise that question...(interruption)

PRESIDENT: You may raise the question at that time...

SENATOR SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

PRESIDENT: ...but right now, Senator Venditte, I think I would wait until...bring it up as an amendment to the bill. Proceed then with the next amendment, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers moves to amend, but I believe...oh, okay, Senator Chambers moves to amend the Committee amendments. (Read Chambers amendment as found on Page 1560 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I hope those of you who are interested in this bill will follow what I'm trying to do. The amendment would deal with the language at the bottom of Page 2...of Page 1 and go to the top of Page 2, and it deals with what it calls possession of gambling records, and the definition of records must necessarily be vague. It says the kind which are commonly used in these types of proceedings, however, there is no definition anywhere in the bill of the crucial word which is possession. I don't think that this