

April 18, 1979

LB 172

out the biggest problems between these two groups and I am certainly in sympathy with anything that can be done to contain health care. However, I don't think that we should be considering all these 28 amendments in one fell swoop. I think some of these are more controversial than others and I would like to suggest, Mr. Chairman, I would like to suggest, Mr. Chairman.

SPEAKER MARVEL: I am sorry.

SENATOR LAMB: I would like to suggest and call for a division of the question on and I would like to separate out just 5 of these 28 amendments to be discussed separately and the ones I have selected are #4, #8, #10, #23 and #28.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Excuse me, just a minute. Are you referring to the....

SENATOR LAMB: Amendments to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, what are the numbers again?

SENATOR LAMB: #4, #8, #10, #23, and #28.

SPEAKER MARVEL: I don't find #28, Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: It is the last one on the last page.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Lamb, let me indicate what the Chair had done and then whatever your pleasure is that is up to you. When Senator Cullan started the debate, and naturally this is a complicated bill, when Senator Cullan started the debate in regard to his amendments, committee amendments, he took them as a whole rather than take them separately and did not ask to divide the question on the committee amendments. Therefore, it was the Chair's conclusion that since a portion of the discussion included the whole that all of the discussion which meant the other amendments should also be taken as a whole, partially because I think it is easier to understand the issues if they are somewhat lumped together at least than try to subdivide them into several different parts. So the Chair in effect ruled at that time that both amendments, the first amendments had been taken without any discussion, taken as a whole, and now we are talking about I think the second amendments or the amendments to the amendments. The Chair feels now that we have spent this length of time debating the amendments as a whole and, therefore, it stays by its original conclusion that the amendments should not be divided. Now the pleasure is yours.