

I also am almost stunned to see Senator Dworak disagree with me. Times certainly have changed since Senator Dworak has been co....I mean not co-opted, but I meant adopted into the Appropriations Committee. The amendment that I'm offering, if it is adopted, would take that \$460,000 out, and again I'd like to simply state why we're talking about \$460,000. We're simply saying that, you know, the University knew this last year before the Appropriations bill came to the floor. If they thought it was such an injustice to lose this \$460,000, where were they last year saying, wup, you overestimated...our cash receipts, wup, you shouldn't take this from us because it's unfair...wup, you've got to understand, \$460,000 is going to bust this University. Quite the contrary, the University said, what's \$460,000? And besides from that we've got such a great scheme...we got such a deal, we're going to take \$2,000,000...we're going to give it to the poor underpaid faculty members and I think they are, in fact, poor and underpaid, but they gave it only to faculty members not to the average Joe Blow blue collar worker on the campuses. They used 660,000 in God knows what way, and thanks...thank the Lord that the Appropriations Committee said, you know, that's not to be done. But in this case the Appropriations Committee said, well we made a mistake. Now the University never cared. But now they come back and they say, well we care...we care, we need that \$460,000. That is money that we desperately need. Well it's money that they didn't desperately need last year. Why do they desperately need it this year? Well, let me answer that question for you. The University now for two years in a row had been held to close to 7 percent, and I say close to 7 percent because I'm like Senator Dworak, I happen to know that the Appropriations Committee has given the University more than 7 percent this year. I think it's 7.1 or 7.2 percent, but it's over 7 percent. It's not under 7 percent as Senator Dworak is saying. The University is not anxious to be held for two years to close to 7 percent. In fact, next year the University is going to build on these little "give mes", you know, they play a tremendous game of once you give it to us now you have to add to it, now you have to add to it and there's multipliers of 10 and they've got some of the best mathematicians of all time. For that reason we need to take this \$460,000 away. It is above...it is above what they requested last year. It is, in fact, a deficit appropriation that shouldn't be in this bill, but it is. For that reason, I would hope the members of this body would help me above the solidarity of the Appropriations Committee take this \$460,000...