

SENATOR SIMON: Thank you, Mr. President. I do think contrary to the Supreme Court decision that the University, if it wanted to, Senator Warner, could certainly tell us what that money was going to go for. They could present either a line-item budget or some more information on where particular dollar amounts were going to go to, and I think that if this Legislature's going to just pass indiscriminately large sums of money without any inclination of where it's going and it's not the most responsible action, and I think that contrary to the amendments that were offered yesterday by Senator Newell, this one is right on target and I plan to support it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues, it seems to me that there is a feeling on the floor of the Legislature that the University is getting a large dollar windfall here by circumventing the actual intent clandestinely of the Legislature in allocating these monies to salaries. You know, the University's base has not been increased by this amount of money. The University is living within an approximate 7 percent gain in revenue this year over last year, just like most other agencies that we looked at in the Committee. The University, in fact, has requested from us an inflationary request for operating expenses of approximately a \$1,700,000. Now this request was denied. The legislative Appropriations Committee did not grant this inflationary request that the University asked for. So whether you give it to them in the form of that inflationary request, or if you do it in replenishment of cash fund sources like the Appropriations Committee has decided to do, it has the same end result. They've also...we've reduced the request by approximately \$175,000 for some revenue bond utilities. This added to that inflationary request about takes care of that \$2,000,000 so that their base is essentially the same. Now, you know, as much as we'd like to think that we can tell the University or any other state agency exactly how every nickel or dime is going to be spent, we're just kidding ourselves if we think that we can do that, because we can't, because we're essentially giving them a lump sum appropriation, and so we have adjusted in other areas of the budget for this particular request, and we've lived within the 7 percent limitation, and I don't 7 percent is really a lucrative, liberal increase in expenditures. I think it's pretty... I think everybody on the floor pretty well realizes that everybody that's received 10 percent is not really in fat city, whether it be whatever agency or whatever situation