

institutions are concerned will show up in their cash estimates and are accounted for there. In the case of the University of Nebraska, if you want to make a comparison between the Committee and the Governor's recommendation, you could explain it very simply as the difference of the salary policy between the Committee and the Governor. The Governor, as you know, used the six and a half percent adjustment. The Committee used seven, and it was a little in excess of \$500,000 difference because of that salary policy. Now that is not the difference between the Governor's recommendation and the Committee because ours was built on a number of factors that came up with the total that we are recommending and the Governor's appropriation, of course, is a lump sum without being divided by campus and the exact makeup or how he or his office arrived at that figure is not included, and I could not answer specific questions relative to that. In the case of central administration, you'll see a substantial decrease from last year's appropriation...the reason...about two and a half million dollars. The reason for that is that the cost of much of the computer operation which formerly was carried at the central administration has been transferred to each of the campuses. It does not reflect any change in cost but only the location or identification for that cost in the...that adjustment would be reflected in the campus by campus appropriations. Specifically at the University of Nebraska at Lincoln the indication is that, or on your green book if you're looking at that, the first one indicates that adjustment in the computer fund which was merely a transfer. There was a \$229,500 increase that was approved and recommended by the Committee for the Institute of Agriculture Natural Resources. This was for program improvement. Their request was for \$270,000. There is again the reduction that is shown for National Guard waivers. Also the Committee reduced from their continuation budget funding for some of the utilities in connection with the dormitories and student unions. One of the issues that was before the Committee some years ago, the state colleges had some support from the general fund for these utilities at dormitories and their student centers...four or five years ago that was discontinued under some arrangement, but in any event the colleges had come back last year and again this year requesting like treatment and the Committee is recommending to give like treatment but by reducing the support to the University rather than increasing the support to the state colleges for this purpose. So both of them will be paying for their dormitory utilities and student union utilities from