

April 10, 1979

LB 44

a commitment, to realize that the credit is woefully inadequate and we need to do more and that this is the way to deal with some of the problems many of you raised earlier about the credit not going far enough. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER MARVEL: We are speaking to the Wesely amendment which I understand is a two tiered amendment moving first to \$23 and then to \$26, is that right? Is that it, Pat? Senator Kahle, do you wish to speak to the Wesely amendment?

SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I think I can support the Wesely amendment. I am kind of skeptical about building in inflation in everything that we do but I think it is a matter of fact so I have no objection to the amendment. We have had a lot of debate today and you can call me out of order if you want to but I really didn't come to the Legislature to get a sermon from the preacher. I get that on Sunday. Especially one that doesn't seem to have the answers on how to get out of the situation that we find ourselves all in. So with that I will quit. I think the economics of our country are such that we all need to look at what we are spending and what we can bring in. I would like to ask Senator Chambers a question if I could.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers, Senator Kahle would want you to answer a question. Do you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Sure.

SENATOR KAHLE: Senator Chambers, you are always negative when we try to hold down spending and I know your purpose is to help the poor but where should we get the money for this? I would be very interested in putting on more sales-income tax. Would you help support that?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Kahle, first of all, when you load a question with certain introductory words, I have to deal with the way you ask the question. I am not always negative when it comes to spending because there is a bill where they want to dump certain county debts, if you want to call it that, onto the state, or obligation with reference to Medicare and I am opposed to that. I want to hold down state spending there but you and I disagree so let's deal with this particular issue. I say that when money is improperly taken, for example, if I am a highwayman and I rob you and take your money, you don't have to establish how I can get an equivalent amount of money before I give you back that which is yours and which I had no right to take in the first place. So.....