

April 9, 1979

LB 593

without anybody coming up with the black and white figures before us that there is some question, that it may or may not have life, and until we answer that question which can be answered, I think it is hasty to pull it out of the appropriations process.

SENATOR NICHOL: Time is up, Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Schmit, did you want to talk to the George amendment?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, Mr. President, I would like to ask Senator George for his explanation. I think we have heard one side of it. I would like to have him explain in a little more detail why he feels that it is not presumptuous to take that one million dollars out of the budget. Senator George.

SENATOR GEORGE: Thank you, Senator Schmit. I will be glad to give you further answers to this particular problem. Senator Dworak is wrong. The word "seems to be" is wrong, and "we don't have any answers" is wrong. The Corps of Engineers simply has no funding at all. The funding is zero. The program has been cancelled, and in addition to that as you all know, President Carter has said that he will veto any kind of a project that Congress might put in. There is no existing program right now. We have had this money for about six or seven years now and why should we keep on keeping our share of not flood money but just recreational lands money when there is no project any more in sight. So all I am proposing is let's cut this million dollars out and use it for some useful purpose, wherever the Appropriations Committee might deem it necessary, but here it just... it has been sitting there for six or seven years and has absolutely no purpose.

SENATOR SCHMIT: The money can only be used for that specific purpose for which it was originally intended in the area of matching funds with the Corps of Engineers on the Papio project?

SENATOR GEORGE: You said whether it could be used?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Is that the only (interruption)?

SENATOR GEORGE: That is the only purpose to match federal monies, not for any kind of a flood project, not for dams, but simply for recreational lands that would surround the