

April 4, 1979

LB 285

children in the classroom, that is ten additional classrooms, that is ten additional staff members and yet they have a \$10,000,000 budget to get a 7% increase on that and that means they pick that up out of that \$700,000. They are not going to be able to pick up one penny until they get over 3%. There again, where we get into the problem is, we try to put everyone in the same basket and we try to treat equals as unequals and that is the most unequal thing as we can do. You just can't sit here and adopt amendments in a hasty type of fashion and I apologize for my anger but in our haste this morning to call the question, too often we do not discuss the merits of an amendment and I am not criticizing Senator Warner because I have visited with him about the problem already and to Senator Dworak, sure, Millard votes for bond issues but that is a bond issue. That has nothing to do with the number of children and teachers which is a major part of a budget and 85% of that budget is a part of people. For us to keep that kind of an amendment is indeed a penalty to fast growing communities who really have little to say about it because business must like it because they build a lot of homes out there. It must have some aesthetic value yet that board has to sit there and try to provide some kind of education and they have no control over it because this body just arbitrarily emasculated any kind of endeavor they have in good conscience to carry out a quality educational program. So I say to you that Senator Newell's amendment is reasonable. If I am out here in a small school district and I get a 1% growth on five children, how many new children do I get? It isn't going to affect that school system because they have still got to have one teacher even though they may get one fraction of another kid and it isn't going to affect that budget one iota. Therefore, I support Senator Newell's proposed amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Simon, did you wish to speak on this?

SENATOR SIMON: Mr. President and members of the body, I rise to support the Newell amendment. I think the way that with the Warner amendment, what we have is that the rich get richer and the poor get poorer and we have a very arbitrary system where we penalize those that grow and reward those that don't and that is exactly what we have done. Now, I don't know, Senator Dworak. He is not around. I don't know what type of increased enrollment he has out in his district but I do represent Millard and I thank you for your comment, Senator Koch, and I also represent Elkhorn and if you think Millard is