

March 29, 1979

LB 394

be dropped. That has been done and opposition has been dropped to the bill. It represents a compromise. In part, for example, one of the four theories of recovery and that is the public disclosure of private facts has been dropped from the bill. Other provisions of the green copy have been dropped from the bill. It is a compromise measure and I would urge its adoption. I will ask for the committee amendments to be accepted. I will then ask to have Senator DeCamp's name added as an introducer to the bill. At the present time I would move for the adoption of the committee amendments.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, just to support Senator Landis in this bill. This is really, really a major bill of the Legislature. Once we adopt this we make Nebraska for the first time officially having a right of privacy. As I say, we are one of the few states, two I think it is, that have no right of privacy in this state. For nine years I and other legislators have worked on this and indeed this is the first time we have been able to get all the opponents together to drop their opposition. You may remember that they developed an intense interest in the abortion legislation and as a result of that ultimately we were able to reach an agreement so I urge you to support the amendments and then advance the bill.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. President, members of the body, I have a few questions of Senator Landis if he would yield.

PRESIDENT: Senator Landis, will you respond?

SENATOR LANDIS: I will.

SENATOR JOHNSON: These are almost technical questions, Senator. I would like to have responses though for the record. The amendments provide that either expressed or implied consent to the invasion of privacy shall be a defense by the invader of privacy. Senator Landis, if an individual were to provide some consent to an invasion of his or her privacy but the invader went beyond the scope of that consent either in duration or extent or in kind, what would be the effect?

SENATOR LANDIS: If they would go beyond the consent then, in fact, there is no effective consent. A consent is to an