

March 28, 1979

LB 484

of their working powers to put them in a separate category and I think this bill is not a wise peice of legislation and I will vote against its advancement.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Hoagland and then Senator Newell.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I will be very brief, Mr. Speaker, in my response and in stating again my reason for opposing this bill. Senator Johnson was characteristically eloquent in his defense of civil rights in his particular address but I respectfully disagree and I disagree for this reason. As a practical matter what we have here is an incumbent protection bill. We have an incumbent protection bill because where we have a sheriff's office of five deputy sheriffs, it means when reelection campaign comes we are going to have five deputy sheriffs out pounding in yard signs, distributing leaflets and walking the streets for their boss. Now this is different than a teacher and it is different than a policeman and the reason it is different is because a teacher isn't working for an immediate supervisor who is running for reelection and a policeman is not working for an immediate supervisor who is running for reelection. This statute applies only to deputy sheriffs and it applies to deputy sheriffs who are serving at the will of their supervisor and it may be that those deputy sheriffs have some civil service protection but what good is that civil service protection going to be as a practical matter if they are not out there on election day and in the weeks before election working hard for their boss. Now I think those people need some protection. They need some statutory protection to say to their boss I can't do it because it is not going to be good enough. unless they have a statute protecting them from this political activity. I would encourage all of you to defeat this measure because I firmly believe that realistically it is an incumbent protection bill and nothing more than that. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Landis, do you wish the floor? I would remind the body that this is the twenty-first speech on this bill. That is all the Chair can say. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: It will be my last speech. There is no doubt about it, Mr. Speaker. I want to say that Senator Chambers offered me an Oscar and I would be happy to accept a gold statute but the Constitution forbids me from accepting more than \$400 a month but I would be happy to accept remuneration. However, if any performance needs to be commended, it is Senator Chambers' performances on a continuing basis.