

March 27, 1979

LB 234

gone during the day on jury duty, she would have that additional cost.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Yes, that is correct. Yes.

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Lamb, may I ask you some questions?

PRESIDENT: Senator Lamb, will you respond?

SENATOR MARSH: What instances were you referring to where you thought that someone was getting too far ahead, I guess?

SENATOR LAMB: No, that's not, the point of my amendment Senator Marsh is that the employer is losing the services of the employee, so it seems to me that the employee should share in this problem. He's going to be paid by his employer, but it would be reduced by the amount of the salary that he received for serving as a juror. Now if there are expenses such as mileage, we have changed the amendments so that that money is not considered part of the salary, and that would not be subject to deduction.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. President, I have some real problems with this amendment. It seems to me that the jury duty might very well be in another location and that there would be additional expenses other than just simply mileage. For that reason, I will oppose this amendment.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Stoney.

SENATOR STONEY: Mr. President, and members of the Legislature, I think Senator Marsh and Senator Lamb, in their discussion and exchange in dialogue addressed some of the questions that I have about this particular issue. It may be a particular problem in Senator Lamb's locale, which is a rural community, but I know in the metropolitan areas, and in the cities here in the state, it is an encouragement, I think, on the part of the employer to have this employee involved in the judicial process. The amount, as we all know, is very, very minimal that's allowed. I believe it's two dollars and thirty or two dollars and forty cents an hour, and certainly for any expense that an individual might incur as far as baby sitting in the case of a housewife, or additional expense for meals, I think that we should encourage people to involve themselves in the process. I think employers of a large number of people have attempted to do this by encouraging employees to participate, and therefore have not reduced these amounts from their salary. So, unless there is an